Aerosol properties over the Indo-Gangetic Plain: A mesoscale perspective from the TIGERZ experiment
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[1] High aerosol loading over the northern Indian subcontinent can result in poor air quality leading to human health consequences and climate perturbations. The international 2008 TIGERZ experiment intensive operational period (IOP) was conducted in the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) around the industrial city of Kanpur (26.51°N, 80.23°E), India, during the premonsoon (April–June). Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) Sun photometers performed frequent measurements of aerosol properties at temporary sites distributed within an area covering ~50 km² around Kanpur to characterize pollution and dust in a region where complex aerosol mixtures and semi-bright surface effects complicate satellite retrieval algorithms. TIGERZ IOP Sun photometers quantified aerosol optical depth (AOD) increases up to ~0.10 within and downwind of the city, with urban emissions accounting for ~10–20% of the IGP aerosol loading on deployment days. TIGERZ IOP area-averaged volume size distribution and single scattering albedo retrievals indicated spatially homogeneous, uniformly sized, spectrally absorbing pollution and dust particles. Aerosol absorption and size relationships were used to categorize black carbon and dust as dominant absorbers and to identify a third category in which both black carbon and dust dominate absorption. Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) AOD retrievals with the lowest quality assurance (QA ≥ 0) flags were biased high with respect to TIGERZ IOP area-averaged measurements. MODIS AOD retrievals with QA ≥ 0 had moderate correlation (R² = 0.52–0.69) with the Kanpur AERONET site, whereas retrievals with QA > 0 were limited in number. Mesoscale–distributed Sun photometers quantified temporal and spatial variability of aerosol properties, and these results were used to validate satellite retrievals.


I. Introduction

[2] The TIGERZ experiment (2008–2011) was conducted by the NASA Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) project within the Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) in northern India located south of the Himalayan foothills, and the intensive operational period (IOP) occurred during the 2008 premonsoon (April–June). The TIGERZ IOP foci included the spatial and temporal characterization of columnar aerosol optical, microphysical, and absorption properties; the identification of aerosol particle type mixtures; and the validation of remotely sensed aerosol properties from satellites. Data collection and analysis involved scientists, engineers, and graduate students from 20 institutions in Europe, India, and North America.

[3] Aerosol conditions over the IGP during the premonsoon are affected by locally generated and regionally transported aerosol particles such as fine mode pollution containing secondary organic carbon (OC) and black carbon (BC) from urban and industrial sources as well as dust mainly from nearby arid agricultural lands and the Thar Desert [Middleton, 1986; Littmann, 1991; Chu et al., 2003; Dey et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2004; Prasad et al., 2007;
Remer et al., 2008; Gautam et al., 2009; Arola et al., 2011]. These aerosol particles challenge remote sensing algorithms for ground-based sensors due to the combined temporal and spatial variability of dust resembling thin cirrus clouds, and algorithms for space-based sensors due to assumed aerosol absorption models and semi-bright land surface during the premonsoon. General circulation models have simulated shifts in the monsoon circulation due in part to high aerosol loading and radiative effects of BC and dust particles over the IGP. The Elevated Heat Pump (EHP) hypothesis proposed by Lau et al. [2006] and Lau and Kim [2006] was explored by the 2007 Joint Aerosol–Monsoon Experiment (JAMEX) activities to further understand aerosol–monsoon interactions [Lau et al., 2008]. Within this context, the AERONET project initiated the TIGERZ experiment to measure aerosol properties at sites spanning the IGP in 2008. Of note, the TIGERZ experiment (i.e., “tigers”) was a larger follow-on effort to the smaller Cloud–Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation (CALIPSO) and Twilight Zone (CATZ) experiment (i.e., “cats”) held in the Baltimore/Washington, D. C., region during the summer of 2007 [McPherson et al., 2010]. Although the TIGERZ experiment had several components, one element was to establish up to seven temporary sites near Kanpur, India (26.51°N, 80.23°E) located ∼300 km south of the Himalayan foothills. In addition to the long-term monitoring AERONET site at the Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Kanpur, these TIGERZ sites provided the framework to quantify the spatial and temporal variability of columnar aerosol optical depth (AOD, $\tau$), volume size distribution, and single scattering albedo (SSA). Long-term AERONET Kanpur data and TIGERZ results were examined to identify BC and dust particle mixtures from aerosol size, shape, and absorption properties. Last, the TIGERZ mesoscale deployment data set was utilized for validation of aerosol retrievals from satellite (e.g., Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)).

2. Instrumentation, Study Region, and Techniques

2.1. Instrumentation

[4] Direct Sun and sky radiance measurements were conducted using the fully autonomous robotic Cimel Electronique CE-318 model radiometers (referred to as Cimels hereafter) deployed by the NASA AERONET project. The measurement protocols, calibration techniques, and data processing have been described by Holben et al. [1998] and Eck et al. [1999, 2005], but important details are provided here. The AERONET Cimels have a full field of view of 1.2° and use two common filter configurations: standard 8-filter (340, 380, 440, 500, 675, 870, 940, 1020 nm) and extended 9-filter (standard plus 1640 nm). Field instruments were inter-calibrated against AERONET reference Cimels, which are calibrated at Mauna Loa by Langley analyses [Shaw 1980, 1983; Eck et al., 2005]. Columnar AOD, columnar water vapor (CWV) in centimeters, and almanac retrievals utilized AERONET Version 2 algorithms and data quality criteria [Smirnov et al., 2000; Dubovik et al., 2000, 2006; Holben et al., 2006]. However, due to the deviation from standard AERONET protocol (i.e., ∼30-s rather than ∼15-min intervals), temporary site Level 1.5 AOD data were manually cloud screened and quality assured using the detailed field logs. The accuracy of AERONET field Cimels varies spectrally from ±0.01 to ±0.02 for measured columnar AOD with higher errors in the ultraviolet channels [Holben et al., 1998; Eck et al., 1999], is within 10% for CWV retrievals [Smid et al., 2001; Smirnov et al., 2004], and is typically less than 5% for calibrated sky radiances [Holben et al., 1998]. In addition, the manually operated Solar Light Microtops II Sun photometers (referred to as Microtops hereafter) performed direct Sun measurements [Morys et al., 2001]. The Microtops had varying sets of five filters utilizing the nominal wavelengths 460, 675, 870, and 940 with either 340 nm or 500 nm. Microtops data were collected using measurement and data processing protocols established by the Maritime Aerosol Network (MAN) component of AERONET [Smirnov et al., 2009]. An artifact of the Microtops ∼2° full field of view is to allow more stray light than the AERONET Cimels; however, during dust events, any reduction in $\tau_{500nm}$ is estimated to be less than 0.02 [Kinne et al., 1997]. The accuracy of Microtops instruments is ±0.02 for measured columnar AOD at the nominal aerosol wavelengths [Smirnov et al., 2009].

2.2. Study Region

[5] Anthropogenic activities within the IGP produce pollution from urban, industrial, and rural combustion sources nearly continuously and convection-induced winds drive desert and alluvial dust into the atmosphere over the IGP during the premonsoon [Middleton, 1986; Littmann, 1991; Chu et al., 2003; Dey et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2004; Prasad and Singh, 2007a, Remer et al., 2008; Gautam et al., 2009]. Atmospheric brown cloud formation over northern India influences the scattering and absorption of solar radiation and initiates radiative forcing effects such as solar dimming, surface cooling, and surface evaporation [Jacobson, 2001; Ramanathan et al., 2005; Ramanathan and Ramana, 2005; Pinker et al., 2005; Dey and Tripathi, 2007; Gautam et al., 2010]. Atmospheric turbidity measurements were initially conducted in the 1960s over India [Mani et al., 1969], and aerosol field campaigns and monitoring networks have continued to be established in order to monitor aerosol loading and other properties. Recent field campaigns included the Indian Ocean Experiment (INDOEX) [Ramanathan et al., 2001; Lelieveld et al., 2001], Arabian Sea Monsoon Experiment (ARMEX-II) [Moorthy and Babu, 2005], Indian Space Research Organization Geo-sphere Biosphere Programme (ISRO-GBP) (http://www.isro.org/gbp/aerosol.aspx), and Integrated Campaign for Aerosols, gases, and Radiation Budget (ICARB) [Beegeum et al., 2008; Moorthy et al., 2008; Satheesh et al., 2009]. A ground-based network using the MultiWavelength Radiometers (MWR) has been deployed in India through ISRO-GBP activities [Moorthy et al., 1989; Gogoi et al., 2009]. Furthermore, Microtops have been operated by ISRO-GBP and others to measure aerosol optical properties in India [Niranjan et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2005; Misra et al., 2008; Satheesh et al., 2009]. In addition to these programs, the AERONET Kanpur site has collected aerosol data since January 2001 [Singh et al., 2003, 2004; Tripathi et al., 2005a; Dey et al., 2005; Prasad and Singh, 2007a, 2007b, 2009].
To further understand aerosol remote sensing measurements performed within the IGP, the NASA AERONET project and several international partners organized the TIGERZ multiyear, ground-based measurement campaign. TIGERZ sites were deployed spatially within the mesoscale domain based on definitions by Orlanski [1975]. A mesoscale-\(\gamma\) (2–20 km) and \(-\beta\) (20–200 km) domains using AERONET Cimels and Microtops to assess the influence of Kanpur pollution to the IGP aerosol loading as well as provide validation points for Terra, Aqua, and CALIPSO satellite retrievals [Vaughan et al., 2004; Anderson et al., 2005]. The low optical air mass (\(m < 1.3\)) during satellite overpass times precluded useful almucantar sky radiance measurements due to a limited range of measured scattering angles [Dubovik et al., 2000]. A temporary deployment of sites with 15–30 km site separation, conducted from 09:45–12:45 UTC (1.3 \(\leq m \leq 6.3\)) on 30 May 2008, provided the first-of-its-kind spatial variability assessment of sky radiance derived AERONET aerosol properties in India.

2.3. Techniques

The dominant aerosol particle size was estimated using the Ångström exponent (\(\alpha\)), defined by the logarithms of aerosol optical depth and wavelength:

\[
\alpha = -\frac{d \ln(\tau(\lambda))}{d \ln(\lambda)}
\]  

\(\alpha\) was calculated for the inclusive wavelength range from 440 to 870 nm using a linear fit of \(\tau\) versus \(\lambda\) on a logarithmic scale; values closer to two indicate that small particles dominate and values approaching zero indicate larger aerosol particles dominate [Holben et al., 1991; Kaufman et al., 1992; Eck et al., 1999; Holben et al., 2001]. The spectral deconvolution algorithm (SDA) retrieved the columnar optically equivalent fine mode (\(\tau_f\)) and coarse mode (\(\tau_c\)) AOD as well as the fine mode fraction of AOD [\(\eta = \tau_f/(\tau_f + \tau_c)\)] at 500 nm. The SDA assumes a bimodal aerosol distribution, the coarse mode Ångström exponent (\(\alpha_c\)) and its derivative (\(\alpha_c'\)) are near zero, and a second order polynomial fit of spectral AOD in logarithmic coordinates [O’Neill et al., 2001, 2003]. The SDA product quality depends on the input AOD wavelengths (i.e., \(N \geq 4\) for Level 2.0), the spectral range (i.e., 380–870 nm for Level 2.0), the combination of aerosol loading and optical air mass dependence (i.e., \(\tau \geq 0.02/m\)), and the removal of outliers. Aerosol optical and microphysical properties were computed from inversions of sky radiance measurements simultaneously with spectral AOD at the 440, 675, 870, and 1020 nm approximate wavelengths. Almucantar-retrieved aerosol properties include the aerosol volume size distribution, complex index of refraction, phase functions, and sphericity fraction (fraction of spherical to spheroidal plus spherical particles). In addition, aerosol fine mode and coarse mode AOD, asymmetry parameter, single scattering albedo, and absorption Ångström exponent were derived from retrieved quantities [Dubovik and King, 2000; Dubovik et al., 2002, 2006]. The AERONET Version 2 almucantar inversion algorithms, data processing, quality controls, and input surface reflectances were discussed by Holben et al. [2006] and Eck et al. [2008].

3. Aerosol Variability During the Premonsoon

The AERONET long-term monitoring site at IIT-Kanpur was positioned \(-17\) km northwest of Kanpur’s main industrial region (Figure 1). Previous work has shown that distinct seasonal patterns of aerosol properties are controlled by the monsoon (\(-June–September\)) and post-monsoon
4. TIGERZ IOP Results

4.1. In-Field Instrument Comparison

[9] The AERONET reference Cimels obtain calibration at the Mauna Loa Observatory in Hawaii [Shaw, 1980, 1983; Eck et al., 2005] and routinely cycle through the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) calibration facility to provide calibration transfer to Cimel and Microtops field instruments during clear and stable atmospheric conditions [Holben et al., 1998; Smirnov et al., 2009]. The accuracy of AERONET reference Cimels for measured columnar AOD is ~0.004 in the visible and near-infrared wavelengths and ~0.01 in the ultraviolet wavelengths [Eck et al., 1999]. Although none of the AERONET reference Cimels was deployed during TIGERZ, a consistency check among the field Cimels and Microtops was performed by comparing the AOD measured at IIT-Kanpur for a 30-min period from 05:19 UTC to 05:49 UTC on 25 May 2008 (Figure 4). The AERONET Cimel #83 (or C83) was chosen arbitrarily as a “reference” to compare with other Cimels and Microtops. The C83 instrument average \( \tau_{500nm} \) for the period was \( 0.390 \pm 0.029 \) and the other Cimel and Microtops averages were within \( \pm0.01 \) and \( \pm0.02 \), respectively. The \( \tau_{500nm} \) and \( \tau_{550nm} \) averages of 0.235 \( \pm \) 0.02 and 0.150 \( \pm \) 0.01, respectively, from C83 indicate the presence of fine mode pollution (e.g., primarily OC, sulfates, nitrates, and BC) and dust particles. Given that Microtops and Cimels averaged AOD were similar, the apparent effect of dust particles to scatter more light into the Microtops larger field of view was not evident in this case. Overall, the Cimel and Microtops comparison showed that AOD differences were consistent with the stated field instrument uncertainties.

Table 1. Instrument Inventory and Availability During the 2008 TIGERZ IOP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kanpur (or IIT-Kanpur)</td>
<td>26°30′46″N, 80°13′53″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>1 May–23 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile N 050608</td>
<td>26°30′22″N, 80°26′21″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>6 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile C 050608</td>
<td>26°18′10″N, 80°29′19″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>6 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile S 050608</td>
<td>26°07′30″N, 80°31′58″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>6 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand N 050608</td>
<td>26°19′31″N, 80°29′01″E</td>
<td>Microtops</td>
<td>6 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand S 050608</td>
<td>26°17′08″N, 80°29′34″E</td>
<td>Microtops</td>
<td>6 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand E 050608</td>
<td>26°18′38″N, 80°30′49″E</td>
<td>Microtops</td>
<td>6 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand W 050608</td>
<td>26°18′09″N, 80°27′47″E</td>
<td>Microtops</td>
<td>6 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Kanpur_West (W2)</td>
<td>26°25′09″N, 80°07′24″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>10, 26, and 30 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Kanpur_East</td>
<td>26°27′31″N, 80°26′22″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>10, 26, and 30 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand_Kanpur_North</td>
<td>26°29′55″N, 80°18′44″E</td>
<td>Microtops</td>
<td>10 and 26 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand_Kanpur_South</td>
<td>26°24′39″N, 80°19′02″E</td>
<td>Microtops</td>
<td>10 and 26 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand_Kanpur_Parki</td>
<td>26°28′44″N, 80°15′23″E</td>
<td>Microtops</td>
<td>10 and 26 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand_Kanpur_RR</td>
<td>26°27′20″N, 80°21′02″E</td>
<td>Microtops</td>
<td>10 and 26 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile_Kanpur_South</td>
<td>26°21′10″N, 80°18′03″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>30 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile_Kanpur_SE</td>
<td>26°22′43″N, 80°25′05″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>30 May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile_N 060708</td>
<td>26°31′50″N, 80°30′21″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>7 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile_C 060708</td>
<td>26°26′58″N, 80°31′36″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>7 June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile_S 060708</td>
<td>26°06′21″N, 80°36′39″E</td>
<td>Cimel</td>
<td>7 June</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. The 2001–2009 Kanpur multiyear monthly averages are plotted for (a) aerosol optical depth, (e) water vapor, and (b–d) spectral deconvolution algorithm (SDA) retrievals at the Level 2.0 quality level. Maximums in total and coarse mode aerosol optical depth in May and June indicate the presence of transported desert dust, and the maximum in water vapor (cm) during July and August indicates the peak of the monsoon.
4.2. Spatial and Temporal Variability of Aerosol Properties

4.2.1. Spatial and Temporal Variability of Aerosol Optical Depth

[10] The TIGERZ IOP aerosol temporal variability was evaluated at IIT-Kanpur and spatial variability was determined over an area covering ~50 km² around Kanpur (Figure 1). Spatial variability can be analyzed by comparing one site to many nearby sites using time coincident measurements and observing the change in correlation or coefficient of variability as a function of site separation distance [Hay and Suckling, 1979; Holben et al., 1991]. Although the TIGERZ IOP data set did not meet temporal requirements for computation of the coefficient of variability, the correlations of coincident observations at 5- and 15-min discrete intervals were analyzed for 6 and 30 May 2008; however, matchups were still statistically insignificant. Instead, TIGERZ IOP data are presented temporally as site averages and deviations and spatially as area-averages and area standard deviations derived from all sites during coincident periods.

[11] The IIT-Kanpur AERONET Cimel Level 2.0 daily averaged AOD temporal variability is shown in Figure 5. From 1 May to 12 June 2008, averaged \( \tau_{500nm} \), \( \tau_{500nm} \), \( \tau_{c500nm} \), and \( \eta_{500nm} \) were 0.65 ± 0.18, 0.24 ± 0.13, 0.42 ± 0.15, and 0.36 ± 0.14, respectively, indicating high aerosol loading and mainly coarse mode particle contributions to the AOD. On temporary deployment days, IIT-Kanpur daily averages for \( \tau_{500nm} \) and \( \eta_{500nm} \) varied from 0.28 to 0.78 and 0.21–0.37, respectively, due to transported dust. The coefficient of variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the standard deviation by the mean and multiplying by 100 to calculate the relative variability with respect to the mean. For the period, total and coarse mode aerosol loading CV was ~25–55% of the mean, which may represent dust transport and the removal of aerosols due to dry deposition and rainfall.

[12] Spatial aerosol variability was assessed using area averages for deployment days (Table 2). Most area averages for \( \tau_{500nm} \), \( \tau_{500nm} \), and \( \tau_{c500nm} \) lie within one standard deviation of the multiyear monthly averages (Figure 2); however, on 30 May 2008, area-averaged AOD (\( \tau_{500nm} = 0.30; \tau_{500nm} = 0.09; \tau_{c500nm} = 0.21 \)) were anomalously low for May and June. For temporary deployments on 10 and 26 May 2008, when Microtops were located within the industrial sector and Cimels in the outer sections of Kanpur, Microtops \( \tau_{500nm} \) area averages were 0.03 and 0.09 higher than Cimel area averages, respectively. Coincident period \( \tau_{500nm} \) area-averaged standard deviations were up to ±0.04, indicating significant spatial variability in the measurements over different deployment configurations, whereas Microtops deviations on 6 May were only ±0.01 due to their

Figure 3. The NOAA HYSPLIT 3-day back trajectory analyses are shown for Kanpur, India (26.51°N, 80.23°E). The trajectories for (a–d) April–July 2008 start at 06:00 UTC and at a height of 1000 m daily. Colored trajectory lines show differentiation among trajectory days. The trajectories are based on the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) data available from NOAA Air Resources Laboratory at http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php.
proximity to each other. The Ångström exponent ($\alpha = 0.20$ to 0.39) and fine mode fraction of AOD ($h_{500\text{nm}} = 0.21$ to 0.33) area-averages represent the presence of mainly supermicron radius or coarse mode particles region-wide on deployment days, except on 7 June 2008, when $\alpha \sim 0.95$ and $h_{500\text{nm}}$ of 0.55 were observed indicating a reduction of coarse mode particle AOD. Near-surface winds from the Navy Operational Global Atmospheric Prediction System (NOGAPS) model were analyzed to identify the change in aerosol loading between upwind and downwind sites. Although aerosol sources in Kanpur emit both particles (e.g., OC and BC) and precursor gases (i.e., SO$_2$, NO$_x$, etc.) into the atmosphere over the IGP [Tripathi et al., 2005b; Arola et al., 2011], sites downwind of the Kanpur urban center reported an increase in $t_{500\text{nm}}$ only up to $\sim$0.10 near these sources. On the 30 May deployment day with only Cimels, the IIT-Kanpur and Mobile_West sites upwind of Kanpur industrial sector had lower average AOD ($t_{500\text{nm}} = 0.28 \pm 0.02$, 0.29 $\pm$ 0.01, respectively) than the Mobile_Southeast site ($t_{500\text{nm}} = 0.33 \pm 0.02$) by as much as 0.05. These upwind/downwind AOD increases were consistent with differences between Microtops within and Cimels outside the city of Kanpur on the 10 and 26 May 2008. Approximately 10–20% of the aerosol loading detected by ground-based Sun photometers on temporary deployment
days resulted from the Kanpur city emission contributions to the upwind aerosols comprised of a mixture of pollution and dust.

4.2.2. Spatial Variability of Aerosol Size and Absorption Properties

[13] Temporary site deployments within mesoscale-γ and -β (15–30 km site separation) domains provided a unique opportunity to acquire up to eight almucantar inversions on 30 May 2008. All of the products were processed utilizing the AERONET Level 2.0 inversion criteria [Holben et al., 2006], except the input AOD may have been Level 1.5 as discussed in Section 2.1. To help interpret absorption results when \( \tau_{440\text{nm}} \) was \( \leq 0.40 \), a development version of the inversion code provided uncertainty estimates for each SSA retrieval. Area-averaged aerosol properties for the size distribution, single scattering albedo, and parameterizations describing the size distribution were calculated for the region covered by the temporary deployment on 30 May (09:40–12:27 UTC). The volume size distribution shows coarse mode dominated aerosol loading for all sites (Figure 6a). Calculated from volume concentration \( (C_v) \), effective radius \( (R_e) \), volume mean radius \( (R_v) \), and standard deviation \( (\sigma) \) derived size distribution quantities in Table 3, the coefficient of variation was less than 10% of the area-averages indicating mainly uniformly sized particles over the region. Spectral SSA area-averages in Figure 6b were 0.87 ± 0.01, 0.91 ± 0.01, 0.92 ± 0.01, and 0.93 ± 0.01 for 440, 675, 870, and 1020 nm nominal wavelengths indicating spatially homogeneous absorption by aerosol particles. While average \( \tau_{440\text{nm}} \) was ~0.33, the average uncertainties for SSA (Figure 6b) were approximately ±0.04 over the 440 nm to 1020 nm range, consistent with increased uncertainty during low aerosol loading (\( \tau_{440\text{nm}} \leq 0.4 \)). The SSA uncertainty has not been quantified for the AERONET Version 2 almucantar retrievals; however, it has been estimated as ±0.03 for \( \tau_{440\text{nm}} > 0.40 \) for Version 1 retrievals [Dubovik et al., 2002]. Although temporal SSA averages vary within the calculated uncertainty of ±0.04, Figure 6b suggests a higher probability of more absorbing aerosols downwind of Kanpur at the Mobile SE site (where higher AOD was also found) with higher SSA values at sites north and east of the city. Black carbon particles emitted from the Panki power plant and other sources possibly increased aerosol absorption downwind of Kanpur [Tripathi et al., 2005b]. Stronger spectral absorption at 440 nm represented the absorption by iron oxides in dust, whereas increasing absorption at longer wavelengths possibly represented a greater contribution of BC to the optical mixture.

4.3. Aerosol Characterization Inferred by Absorption Properties

[14] Single scattering albedo retrievals from AERONET have been compared to surface-based and airborne in situ measurements in atmospheric environments affected by biomass burning emissions, dust, or mixtures of them. Leahy et al. [2007], Johnson et al. [2009], Müller et al. [2010], and Toledano et al. [2011] show that spectral SSA differences between AERONET and in situ retrievals were well within uncertainty estimates. However, ground-based in situ measurements may exhibit large diurnal variability in SSA due to anthropogenic processes and boundary layer meteorology [Garland et al., 2008]. The spectral SSA \( \omega_\text{abs}(\lambda) \) and extinction AOD \( \tau_\text{ext}(\lambda) \) relate to the absorption AOD \( \tau_\text{abs}(\lambda) \) as given in equation (2). Analogous to the extinction Ångström exponent \( (\alpha_\text{ext}) \) in equation (1), the absorption Ångström exponent \( (\alpha_\text{abs}) \) is derived using equation (3).

\[
\tau_\text{abs}(\lambda) = [1 - \omega_\text{abs}(\lambda)] \ast \tau_\text{ext}(\lambda)
\]

(2)

\[
\alpha_\text{abs} = -d \ln(\tau_\text{abs}(\lambda))/d \ln(\lambda)
\]

(3)

\( \alpha_\text{abs} \) was calculated for the inclusive wavelength range from 440 to 870 nm. The linear fit of \( \tau_\text{abs} \) versus \( \lambda \) on a logarithmic scale cannot differentiate among particle types alone. Comparing \( \alpha_\text{abs} \) to an aerosol size proxy (e.g., \( \alpha_\text{ext} \) or \( \eta/675\text{nm} \), the fine mode fraction of AOD at 675 nm from the almucantar retrieval) relates particle absorption spectral
Figure 6. Data from TIGERZ IOP sites indicated spatially homogeneous, uniformly sized, spectrally absorbing pollution and dust particles. Temporally averaged almucantar retrieval plots for (a) aerosol volume size distribution and (b) spectral single scattering albedo (SSA) for the Mobile_East (pink), Mobile_Southeast (blue), Mobile_South (dark green), Mobile_West (red), and Kanpur (light green) sites are shown for the temporary site deployment on 30 May 2008. The vertical bars indicate the standard deviation in each plot. The average $\tau_{440nm}$ was 0.33 with solar zenith angle greater than 50 degrees.

dependence to particle size and potentially characterizes the dominant absorbing particle type or optical mixture. Assuming a spectrally constant refractive index, Bergstrom et al. [2002] suggested that small BC particles ($r < 0.01\mu m$) will have a $\lambda^{-2}$ dependence or $\alpha_{abs}$ of 1.0, whereas larger, optically effective BC particles ($r > 0.01\mu m$) will have $\alpha_{abs}$ of 1.3. Deviations from these $\alpha_{abs}$ values occur when spectral changes in the imaginary part of the refractive index vary due to the composition of the aerosol particle

[Kirchstetter et al., 2004]. From Nuclepore filter measurements collected 50 km east-southeast of Beijing, China, Chaudhry et al. [2007] found that coarse mode particles with diameters ranging between 2.5 $\mu m$ and 10 $\mu m$ had a subtle increase in absorption from 350 nm to 600 nm. Bergstrom et al. [2007] showed that aerosol particles from different regions have distinct $\alpha_{abs}$ values (e.g., $\alpha_{abs} = -2.3$ for Saharan dust and Asian dust/pollution mixtures, $\alpha_{abs} = -1.5$ for South Africa biomass burning, and $-1.1$ for urban/industrial). Levis et al. [2008] also showed that $\alpha_{abs}$ for biomass burning particles varies by fuel type, combustion phase, and organic to black carbon ratio. Russell et al. [2010] used AERONET Version 1 almucantar retrieval data from Dubovik et al. [2002] to show dust separated from other discrete aerosol types using the $\alpha_{abs}$ versus $\alpha_{ext}$ (hereafter defined as $\alpha_{abs}/\alpha_{ext}$ vs.) relationship to classify data clusters (e.g., $\alpha_{abs} = -1.2$ to $-3.0$ for dust, $\alpha_{abs} = -1.2$ to $-1.5$ for biomass burning, and $\alpha_{abs} = -0.75$ to $-1.3$ for urban/industrial), although particles with absorption dominated by BC content (i.e., urban and biomass burning aerosols) were less defined and required more information [Giles et al., 2010].

[15] Both the $\alpha_{abs}$/$\alpha_{ext}$ and $\alpha_{abs}$ versus $\eta_{675nm}$ (hereafter defined as $\alpha_{abs}/\eta_{675nm}$) relationships were examined with AERONET Version 2, Level 2.0 AOD and almucantar retrievals for Kanpur. For all months from 2002 to 2008, the $\alpha_{abs}$/$\alpha_{ext}$ and $\alpha_{abs}/\eta_{675nm}$ relationships (Figures 7a and 7c) show a nonlinear dependence over the aerosol size ranges, whereas the sphericity fraction, generally valid for only $\alpha_{ext} < 1.0$ according to Dubovik et al. [2006], has a strong transition from non-spherical to spherical particles around $\alpha_{ext}$ of 1.3 or $\eta_{675nm}$ of $\sim 0.66$. The “Mostly Dust” category [i.e., $\alpha_{ext} \leq 0.5$ ($\eta_{675nm} \leq 0.33$) and sphericity fraction $< 0.2$] with $\alpha_{abs} > 2.0$ and the “Mostly BC” category [i.e., $\alpha_{ext} > 0.8$ ($\eta_{675nm} > 0.66$) and sphericity fraction $\geq 0.2$] with 1.0 $< \alpha_{abs} < 2.0$ are consistent with results reported by Bergstrom et al. [2007] and Russell et al. [2010]. The “Mostly Dust” category identifies aerosol mixtures where iron oxide in dust is the dominate absorber and the “Mostly BC” category represents a mixture of biomass burning and urban/industrial emissions with BC as the dominant absorber, although other absorbers such as brown carbon and soot carbon may exist [Gustafsson et al., 2009]. The $\alpha_{ext} > 0.8$ ($\eta_{675nm} > 0.66$) and $\alpha_{abs} > 2.0$ may indicate a greater organic carbon concentration [Arola et al., 2011]. The $\alpha_{abs}/\alpha_{ext}$ and $\alpha_{abs}/\eta_{675nm}$ relationships during the premonsoon (Figures 7b and 7d) revealed the dominance of large particles with $\alpha_{abs}$ ranging mainly from 1.25 to 3.0. Centered on the maximum density at $\alpha_{ext} = 0.5$ ($\eta_{675nm} = 0.33$) with $\alpha_{abs} = 1.5$, the “Mixed BC and Dust” category likely represents an optical mixture of fine mode BC and coarse mode dust as the dominant absorbers. Notably, these classifications are complicated by the fact that 6% of the Kanpur Level 2.0 data set (2002–2008) had $\alpha_{abs} < 1.0$, whereas $\alpha_{abs} = 1.0$ is often identified as indicative of exclusively BC absorption. Bergstrom et al. [2007] found that $\alpha_{abs} < 1.0$ occurred frequently in Particle Soot Absorption Photometer (PSAP) data and suggested that the imaginary refractive index may decrease with wavelength due to absorption AOD spectral dependence or the low $\alpha_{abs}$ values are related to measurement uncertainties. For AERONET data, $\alpha_{abs} < 1.0$ may be related to higher SSA retrieval uncertainty for low aerosol loading cases.
Table 3. Area-Averaged Aerosol Volume Size Distribution Quantities for Fine Mode (f) and Coarse Mode (c) Aerosols on 30 May 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>f (µm)</th>
<th>Vc</th>
<th>c (µm)</th>
<th>Vf</th>
<th>σf</th>
<th>σc</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile_Kanpur_East</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile_Kanpur_SE</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile_Kanpur_South</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile_Kanpur_West</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanpur</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Average</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>±0.01</td>
<td>±0.001</td>
<td>±0.013</td>
<td>±0.04</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aCorresponds to Figure 6a.

Figure 7. Level 2.0 absorption Ångström exponent ($\alpha_{abs}$) and sphericity fraction as a function of extinction Ångström exponent ($\alpha_{ext}$) and fine mode fraction of AOD at 675 nm ($f_{675nm}$; from the almucantar inversions) from the Kanpur AERONET record (2002–2008) during (a, c) all seasons and (b, d) April–May–June. $\alpha_{abs}$ is plotted from 0.0 to 3.5 (red) and sphericity fraction is plotted from 0.0 to 1.0 (blue). The green ellipses represent probable aerosol mixture categories. $\alpha_{abs}$ of 1.0 indicates $\lambda^{-2}$ dependence, and a sphericity fraction of 1.0 indicates a 100% spherical particle.
Dubovik et al. [2000; Giles et al., 2010], nonlinearity of absorption optical depth [Eck et al., 2010], the quality of the almucantar measurement sequence, and the spectral range chosen for the calculation. Some $\alpha_{abs} < 1.0$ cases at Kanpur revealed potential measurement inconsistencies between Sun and sky collimators (e.g., spider webs or dust) or possible diffuse cloud contamination (e.g., uniform optically thin cirrus). Kirchstetter et al. [2004] reported $\alpha_{abs}$ values below 1.0 for similar wavelength regions using in situ measurements, therefore some AERONET retrievals with $\alpha_{abs} < 1.0$ may be the result of actual spectral variation.

Remote sensed aerosol retrievals cannot determine whether BC coats dust; however, the likelihood for this interaction increases over the IGP during the premonsoon and results from Arimoto et al. [2006] and Guo et al. [2010] in China and Dey et al. [2008] in India suggest this interaction is likely. The volume size distribution and SSA retrievals were binned based on $\alpha_{abs}$ (Figure 8). As $\alpha_{abs}$ decreases to 1.0, coarse mode particles became less dominant for both the annual cycle and premonsoon (Figures 8a and 8c). In Figures 8b and 8d, SSA transitioned from spectra representing dust (i.e., typical iron oxide absorption in the blue wavelength region and relatively weak absorption in the near-infrared) to urban/industrial pollution containing black carbon (i.e., stronger absorption in longer wavelengths). Level 2.0 almucantar retrievals from the Kanpur AERONET (2002–2008) during (a, b) all seasons and (c, d) April–May–June for aerosol volume size distribution (a, c) and for SSA (b, d) averaged by $\alpha_{abs}$ bins. Averages in which N < 25 were removed from the plots.

**Figure 8.** As absorption Ångström exponent decreased to 1.0, coarse mode particles became less dominant for both the annual cycle and premonsoon. Further, single scattering albedo transitioned from spectra representing dust (i.e., typical iron oxide absorption in the blue wavelength region and relatively weak absorption in the near-infrared) to urban/industrial pollution containing black carbon (i.e., stronger absorption in longer wavelengths). Level 2.0 almucantar retrievals from the Kanpur AERONET (2002–2008) during (a, b) all seasons and (c, d) April–May–June for aerosol volume size distribution (a, c) and for SSA (b, d) averaged by $\alpha_{abs}$ bins. Averages in which N < 25 were removed from the plots.
Figure 9. Level 2.0 SSA data were averaged for $\alpha_{\text{abs}}$ bins and further partitioned based on $\alpha_{\text{ext}}$ and $\eta_{675\text{nm}}$ using Kanpur AERONET (2002–2008). (a) The case for large particle-dominated conditions (i.e., $\alpha_{\text{ext}} \leq 0.8$); (b) the case for small particle-dominated conditions (i.e., $\alpha_{\text{ext}} > 0.8$); (c) mainly coarse mode particles ($\eta_{675\text{nm}} \leq 0.33$); (d) mixed size particles ($0.33 < \eta_{675\text{nm}} \leq 0.66$); and (e) mainly fine mode particles ($\eta_{675\text{nm}} > 0.66$). Averages in which $N < 25$ were removed from the plots.
the $\alpha_{\text{ext}}$ intervals of 0.0–0.8 and 0.8–2.0 and $\tau_{575\text{nm}}$ intervals of 0.0–0.33, 0.33–0.66, and 0.66–1.0 (Figure 9). Strong absorption is noted at 440 nm relative to longer wavelengths due to large dust particles, but increasing absorption at 550 nm using the linear fit of the logarithms of AOD and wavelength. The subset statistics generated from 10 km MODIS AOD granules were computed following the procedure presented by Ichoku et al. [2002] for a 50 × 50 km (5 × 5 pixels) box, whereas 3 km granules used a 48 × 48 km (16 × 16 pixels) box around the Kanpur AERONET site. The MODIS/AERONET matchups were performed when MODIS had at least five pixels for the overpass and AERONET had at least two observations within ±30 min. Modifying the procedure to use actual geographic pixel dimensions for the bounding box or decreasing the average time from overpass for ground-based measurements had a negligible effect on statistics when compared to the method suggested by Ichoku et al. [2002]. Each 10 km MODIS product provided quality assurance (QA) flags to indicate the confidence level of each pixel ranging from 0 (poor) to 3 (very good) and were generated based on the presence of clouds, fitting errors, limits on AOD, and semi-bright land surface in addition to other quality checks [Remer et al., 2009], although these QA flags were not available for the 3 km MODIS product.

The Terra and Aqua MODIS comparisons for the five TIGERZ deployment days are shown in Figure 10 for MODIS aerosol product QA flags ≥ 0. Depending on the deployment day, Sun photometer data represent Cimel and Microtops or Cimel area averages (Table 2). As indicated by Remer et al. [2008], MODIS retrievals with QA < 3 are generally used for qualitative rather than quantitative purposes; however, due to the lack of QA = 3 retrievals for 10 km and the 3 km products, 0 ≤ QA < 3 flags were analyzed here. In Figure 10, the overpass matchups for these five days show higher MODIS $\tau_{575\text{nm}}$ values over most of the range when compared to Sun photometers consistent with Jethva et al. [2006]. This finding is not consistent with other studies showing MODIS AOD biases as a function of ground-based Sun photometer AOD, where MODIS AOD is overestimated at low AOD and underestimated at high AOD [Remer et al., 2008]; however, the small sample size here limits the robustness of the trend analysis. In this case, very high MODIS $\tau_{575\text{nm}}$ values are likely the result of non-spherical particle scattering by dust aerosols over the semi-bright surface reducing the contrast between the atmosphere and surface [Jethva et al., 2006]. In comparison to the MODIS 10 km retrievals, the MODIS 3 km retrievals show similar or better agreement with the ground-based instruments (Figure 10). In addition, three matchups were made on 6 May 2008 (Terra and Aqua) and 7 June 2008 (Terra). For the Terra overpass on 7 June 2008, clouds were visible in the northern portion of the 50x50 km domain when 10 km MODIS retrievals were not available; however, the immediate vicinity of Kanpur did not have clouds and allowed the retrieval of 3 km MODIS AOD pixels. Consistent with results from Johnson et al. [2009] and Ginoux et al. [2010],

![Figure 10](image-url)

**Figure 10.** MODIS AOD retrievals with QA ≥ 0 were biased high with respect to TIGERZ IOP area-averaged measurements. MODIS AOD 3 km retrievals improved spatial representativeness during some conditions (e.g., cloudy skies) that prohibited the retrieval of 10 km products. Area-averaged MODIS (MOD04_L2/MYD04_L2) 3 km and 10 km $\tau_{575\text{nm}}$ versus area-averaged Sun photometer (Cimel and Microtops) $\tau_{575\text{nm}}$ were compared for each temporary deployment. The vertical and horizontal error bars indicate the confidence level of each pixel ranging from 0 (poor) to 3 (very good) and were generated based on the presence of clouds, fitting errors, limits on AOD, and semi-bright land surface in addition to other quality checks [Remer et al., 2009], although these QA flags were not available for the 3 km MODIS product.
on 30 May 2008, the Aqua C051 Deep Blue retrieval shows improvement over the Aqua C005 Deep Blue retrieval with a reduction in $t_{550nm}$ by $\sim 0.18$ due to an improved characterization of the land surface.

The MODIS 10 km $t_{550nm}$ was evaluated using the AERONET long-term monitoring Cimel at IIT-Kanpur during the TIGERZ IOP (1 May 2008 to 23 June 2008). Figure 11 shows moderate correlation between MODIS and AERONET with $R^2$ values (and root mean square error in parentheses) of 0.52 (0.12), 0.69 (0.11), and 0.68 (0.17) for Terra-MODIS, Aqua-MODIS, and Aqua-Deep Blue MODIS, respectively. These correlations with respect to other validation exercises at Kanpur were slightly lower than those reported by Tripathi et al. [2005a] ($R^2 = 0.72$) for dust events using MODIS Collection 4 (C004) Level 2 data set in 2004, higher than those reported by Prasad and Singh [2007b] ($R^2 = 0.29$) using C004 Level 3 MODIS AOD during the premonsoon season (April–June), and lower than those reported by Jethva et al. [2007b] ($R^2 = 0.83$) for MODIS C005 from 2002 to 2005. Furthermore, the MODIS and AERONET correlations are similar to those reported by Dey and Di Girolamo [2010] ($R^2 = 0.69$) for Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) over Kanpur from 2001 to 2008, higher than those reported by Kar et al. [2010] ($R^2 = 0.25$) for CALIPSO over Kanpur from 2006 to 2009, and similar to those reported by Hyer et al. [2011]...

Figure 11. MODIS AOD 10 km retrievals with the lowest quality assurance (QA ≥ 0) had moderate correlation with the Kanpur AERONET site, whereas retrievals with QA > 0 were limited in number over the semi-bright land surface. Area-averaged MODIS (MOD04 L2/MYD04 L2) 10 km $t_{550nm}$ versus Kanpur AERONET $t_{550nm}$ compared from 1 May to 9 June 2008 and partitioned for each QA level (a) ≥ 0, (b) ≥ 1, (c) ≥ 2, and (d) 3 for the Terra MODIS, Aqua MODIS, and Aqua Deep Blue MODIS algorithms. The vertical and horizontal error bars indicate the standard deviations for the MODIS area average and the AERONET temporal average, respectively.
Table 4. Potential and Actual MODIS, AERONET Level 2.0 (L2), and AERONET Level 2.0 + Level 1.0 Screened (L2 + L1) Matchups from 1 May to 23 June 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matchups</th>
<th>Potential MODIS</th>
<th>Potential AERONET L2</th>
<th>Potential AERONET L2 + L1</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>Days</td>
<td>Days</td>
<td>Days</td>
<td>Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqua</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(R^2 = 0.71) for MODIS C005 Level 2 data compared to all AERONET sites on the Indian sub-continent from 2005 to 2008. The Terra and Aqua-MODIS retrievals had better agreement with AERONET within the stated MODIS uncertainty [Remer et al., 2008] than Aqua-Deep Blue retrievals. For Figure 11a, the linear regression through each standard MODIS retrieval suggests an overestimation at low \(\tau_{550\text{nm}}\) and underestimation at high \(\tau_{550\text{nm}}\) with the inflection point near 0.45; this result is a well-known bias in the MODIS retrieval and depends on particle size distribution, shape, and absorption [Ichoku et al., 2005; Levy et al., 2005; Remer et al., 2005]. The linear regression for the Aqua Deep Blue retrieval gives a slope near 1.0 and a high offset of ~0.29 due to issues with the assumed bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) model over the Kanpur region. Quality assurance flags 1, 2, and 3, representing increased confidence in the retrieved pixel, were evaluated and used to remove significant portions of the MODIS data. In Figures 11b–11d, higher quality retrievals show all MODIS products were biased high when compared to AERONET. For these overpasses, significant cloud cover was not identified by either on-site observers or by manual inspection of MODIS Rapid Response true color images generated for the Kanpur AERONET site. On 18 May 2008, dust over the semi-bright surface reduced the aerosol to surface contrast and resulted in no Terra/MODIS aerosol retrievals on this cloud-free day, while Level 2.0 AERONET measurements were available during the overpass time. The MISR instrument had one cloud-free scene on 18 May 2008, where MISR retrieved a \(\tau_{550\text{nm}}\) of 0.70 [R. Kahn, personal communication, 2010] and the corresponding AERONET Kanpur interpolated \(\tau_{550\text{nm}}\) was 0.72 for ±30 min of the Terra overpass at 05:15 UTC. However, Dey and Di Girolamo [2010] showed that MISR AOD typically underestimated Kanpur AERONET observations when analyzing all seasons similar to results from Kahn et al. [2005] and Prasad and Singh [2007b].

Further investigation of the ground-based data revealed that some data were removed by the AERONET cloud-screening algorithm during cloud-free periods when aerosols were primarily dust. Dust occasionally exhibits a similar spectral AOD signature to spectral cloud optical depth by having almost no spectral dependence and high triplet variability causing the AERONET cloud-screening algorithm to misclassify dust as cloud [Smirnov et al., 2000]. During over-cloud-screened days, Level 1.0 AOD data were inspected for anomalies, verified with observer sky condition logs, and incorporated into the MODIS overpass comparison to provide additional valid points. Potential MODIS days were based on retrievals made for QA ≥ 0 during mainly cloud-free and low aerosol loading conditions. Re-inspected AERONET data provided 29 additional validation points within ±30 min of MODIS overpass for MODIS/AERONET matchups between 1 May 2008 and 23 June 2008. Reconstituted AERONET points (within ±30 min of satellite overpass) increased observations available for four previously identified MODIS/AERONET matchups (i.e., one for Terra and three for Aqua) and added two or more AERONET validation points to enable six additional potential MODIS/AERONET matchups (i.e., four for Terra and two for Aqua). As a result, these additional AERONET validation points increased the potential MODIS/AERONET matchups by 24% from 25 to 31 (Table 4). During the period, 55 MODIS retrieval days were possible over Kanpur; however, less than 50% of the overpass days (18 days for Terra and 20 days for Aqua) were retrieved by MODIS due to clouds, elevated dust, or surface reflectance issues. In summary, both AERONET and MODIS algorithms occasionally misclassified dust as clouds, and additionally, semi-bright surface effects sometimes resulted in screening by the MODIS algorithm over the IGP during the premonsoon.

The evaluation of MODIS aerosol products over the IGP has shown the need for additional algorithm or parameterization improvements. MODIS retrievals for C005 and C001 overestimated and under-sampled aerosol properties when compared to TIGERZ IOP measurements at Kanpur; this is consistent with MODIS C004 retrieval biases identified by Jethva et al. [2007a] over the IGP during the premonsoon. However, Jethva et al. [2007b, 2010] have adjusted both the absorbing aerosol model assumed by the MODIS C005 algorithm and the surface reflectance to produce more accurate retrievals. Although spatially distributed MODIS aerosol retrievals are commonly compared to ground-based Sun photometer point measurements, the TIGERZ IOP has provided a unique data set on the same spatial scale to provide a more robust validation of satellite retrievals.

5. Conclusions

The international 2008 TIGERZ experiment intensive operational period was conducted in the Indo-Gangetic Plain around Kanpur, India, during the premonsoon (April–June). Mesoscale-distributed Sun photometers quantified temporal and spatial variability of aerosol properties to determine Kanpur urban emission contributions to upwind IGP aerosol loading and validate aerosol retrievals from satellites. Using the long-term Kanpur data set, the climatological aerosol variability during the premonsoon was discussed and aerosol absorption and size relationships were evaluated to determine dominant aerosol absorbing types or mixtures. The study yielded the following conclusions:

1. TGERZ intensive operational period Sun photometers quantified AOD increases up to ~0.10 within and downwind of the city due to local Kanpur emissions including black carbon. Approximately 10–20% of the aerosol loading detected by ground-based Sun photometers on temporary deployment days resulted from the Kanpur city emission contributions to the upwind aerosols comprised of a mixture of pollution and dust.

2. For a mesoscale case study day with 15–30 km site separation, relative variability was less than 10% of the...
area-averages for parameterizations describing the size distribution indicating mainly uniformly sized particles over Kanpur. Spectral single scattering albedo area-averages (0.87–0.93) had stronger absorption at 440 nm due to iron oxides in dust and indicated spatially homogeneous absorption by black carbon and dust particles. Further, single scattering albedo transitioned from spectra representing dust (i.e., typical iron oxide absorption in the blue wavelength region and relatively weak absorption in the near-infrared) to urban/industrial pollution containing black carbon (i.e., stronger absorption in longer wavelengths).

- MODIS AOD 3 km and 10 km retrievals with the lowest quality assurance (QA $\geq 0$) flags were biased high with respect to TIGERZ IOP measurements. MODIS AOD 3 km retrievals improved spatial representativeness during some conditions (e.g., clouds) that prohibited the retrieval of 10 km products. MODIS AOD 10 km retrievals with QA $\geq 0$ had moderate correlation ($R^2 = 0.52–0.69$) with the Kanpur AERONET site, whereas retrievals with QA $> 0$ were limited in number over the semi-bright land surface. AERONET and MODIS algorithms occasionally misclassified dust as clouds over the IGP during the premonsoon.
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